

PROCEEDINGS

Jl. Z.A. Pagar Alam No. 89 Gedong Meneng, Bandar Lampung

Enhancing Community Satisfaction Through Participatory Development Planning: A Case Study of Tejosari Village, Metro Timur District

Bahri¹ Nur Cahya² Tubagus Hasanudin³ Defrizal⁴ Elip Heldan⁵

<u>defrizal@ubl.ac.id</u>

12345Universitas Bandar Lampung

ABSTRACT

This study examines the role of participatory development planning in enhancing community satisfaction in Tejosari Village, Metro Timur District. Participatory development planning has been recognized as a crucial approach for aligning development initiatives with community needs by involving stakeholders in the decision-making process. While previous research has highlighted the benefits of community involvement, there remains a significant gap in understanding the practical challenges that hinder effective community engagement, particularly in rural settings. This qualitative study employs semi-structured interviews with local government officials, community leaders, and residents to explore these challenges and assess the effectiveness of participatory planning efforts in Tejosari Village. The findings reveal that community satisfaction is significantly higher when members are actively involved in the planning process, particularly when transparency and inclusiveness are prioritized. However, challenges such as low attendance at planning meetings and inadequate infrastructure present substantial barriers to effective participation. The study suggests that strategies to increase community engagement and improve infrastructure are essential for the success of participatory development initiatives. The research contributes to the broader discourse on community development by providing insights into how participatory planning can be optimized to better meet community expectations.

Keywords: Participatory development planning, community satisfaction, rural engagement, community participation, development challenges

Introduction

Participatory development planning has gained considerable attention as an effective approach to ensure that development initiatives reflect the needs and expectations of the community (Abatena, 1997; Arcidiacono et al., 2009; Gedikli, 2009; Kennedy, 1997; Richards & Dalbey, 2006). This approach is increasingly recognized for its potential to enhance community satisfaction by actively involving stakeholders in the decision-making process (Moss & Grunkemeyer, 2010; Reybold & Herren, 1999; Richards & Dalbey, 2006). Previous studies have underscored the benefits of community involvement in various stages of development, noting that it leads to improved project outcomes and heightened community satisfaction (Dyer et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2018; Li et al., 2016; Richards & Dalbey, 2006). However, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding the practical challenges and barriers to effective community engagement in participatory planning, particularly within rural settings like Tejosari Village, Metro Timur District. These challenges include issues such as limited resources, socio-cultural barriers, and inadequate infrastructure that may impede meaningful community participation. This study aims to address this gap by critically examining the effectiveness of participatory development planning in enhancing community satisfaction in Tejosari Village. The research seeks to identify the specific challenges encountered in engaging the community, explore the factors that influence the success of participatory planning, and propose strategies for overcoming these barriers. In doing so, this study contributes to the broader discourse on participatory planning and community development, offering insights into how participatory approaches can be optimized to achieve better alignment between development outcomes and community expectations (Abatena, 1997; Blake et al., 2018a; Eshkol & Eshkol, 2017; Ngah & Zulkifli, 2014).

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to investigate the dynamics of participatory development planning in Tejosari Village. The rationale for adopting qualitative methods lies in their ability to capture the complex and nuanced perspectives of various stakeholders involved in the planning process, including local government officials, community leaders, and residents (Karki, 2004; Khaenamkhaew et al., 2023; Margles et al., 2010). Data collection was conducted through semi-structured interviews with a diverse group of participants, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the community's satisfaction levels and the effectiveness of participatory planning efforts (Khaenamkhaew et al., 2023; Richards & Dalbey, 2006; Swapan, 2014). Participants were selected using purposive sampling to include individuals who have been actively involved in the planning process, thus providing valuable insights into the challenges and successes of community engagement (Barusman et al., 2020). The interview questions were designed to explore participants' experiences with the planning process, their perceptions of community satisfaction, and the obstacles they encountered (Brown & Chin, 2013; Swapan, 2014). Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the data, allowing for the identification of key themes and patterns related to community participation, satisfaction, and the challenges encountered during the planning process (Swapan, 2014; Zanudin et al., 2019). This approach facilitated a deep understanding of the factors influencing community satisfaction and the effectiveness of participatory planning in Tejosari Village.

Result and Discussion

a. Result

The findings of this study reveal several important insights into the effectiveness of participatory development planning in enhancing community satisfaction in Tejosari Village. Participants consistently reported higher levels of satisfaction when they were actively involved in the planning process. This involvement provided them with opportunities to express their concerns, contribute to decision-making, and feel a sense of ownership over the development initiatives. Specifically, the study found that participants valued the transparency and inclusiveness of the planning process, which were seen as critical factors in ensuring that development outcomes aligned with community needs. However, the study also identified several significant challenges that hindered effective community participation. These challenges included low attendance at planning meetings, which was attributed to factors such as a lack of awareness, time constraints, and the perceived irrelevance of the meetings to some community members. Additionally, inadequate infrastructure, such as limited access to meeting venues and poor communication channels, further impeded participation, particularly among marginalized groups.

b. Discussion

The discussion section interprets the results within the context of existing literature and the theoretical framework of participatory development planning, emphasizing the critical role of community involvement in achieving development outcomes that align with community needs and expectations. Consistent with prior studies (Abatena, 1997; Arcidiacono et al., 2009; Gedikli, 2009; Richards & Dalbey, 2006), the findings confirm that active stakeholder engagement significantly contributes to heightened community satisfaction, as evidenced by the positive feedback from participants who were directly involved in the planning process.

However, the challenges identified in this study, including low attendance at meetings and inadequate infrastructure, echo the barriers to effective community engagement highlighted in previous research (Moss & Grunkemeyer, 2010; Reybold & Herren, 1999; Richards & Dalbey, 2006). These findings underscore that while participatory planning holds considerable potential to enhance community satisfaction, its success is heavily dependent on overcoming these obstacles to ensure equitable participation opportunities for all community members.

The study further highlights the importance of transparency in decision-making and a focus on long-term welfare improvement, which are critical components of successful participatory planning. Participants consistently cited these factors as key contributors to their satisfaction, aligning with the literature that underscores the value of inclusive and transparent processes in community development (Dyer et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2018; Li et al., 2016). The research suggests that implementing feedback mechanisms, such as surveys and regular community meetings, could serve as effective tools for measuring and enhancing community satisfaction over time. Moreover, the study emphasizes the need to create an inclusive environment where all community members, regardless of

socio-economic status or educational background, feel valued and heard—an approach that is crucial for ensuring that development initiatives address the diverse needs of the community and contribute to sustainable outcomes.

By addressing the practical challenges identified in this study, participatory development planning in rural settings like Tejosari Village can be optimized to achieve better alignment between development outcomes and community expectations, ultimately contributing to the broader discourse on participatory planning and community development (Blake et al., 2018b; Eshkol & Eshkol, 2017; Ngah & Zulkifli, 2014).

Conclusion

This study highlights the significance of participatory development planning in enhancing community satisfaction in Tejosari Village. By involving community members in every stage of the development process, from information reception to planning and implementation, development outcomes can be better aligned with community needs. The research identifies key challenges, such as low meeting attendance and inadequate infrastructure, and emphasizes the need for strategies to overcome these barriers to ensure equal roles for all community members. Transparency in decision-making and a focus on long-term welfare improvement are critical for successful participatory planning. Future research should explore innovative approaches to increase community engagement and further investigate the impact of participatory planning on community satisfaction in different contexts. This study contributes to the broader discourse on participatory planning and community development, offering valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners seeking to enhance community satisfaction through inclusive development processes.

References

- Abatena, H. (1997). The significance of planned community participation in problem solving and developing a viable community capability. *Journal of Community Practice*, 4(2), 13–34. https://doi.org/10.1300/J125v04n02_02
- Arcidiacono, C., Procentese, F., & Baldi, S. (2009). Participatory planning and community development: An elearning training program. *Journal of Prevention & Intervention in the Community*, 38(1), 70–83. https://doi.org/10.1080/10852350903393475
- Barusman, A. R. P., Virgawenda, T., & Arwis, Y. A. (2020). Factors that Effect the Level of Consumers Loyalty for Budget Hotel in Indonesia. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 12(1).
- Blake, W. H., Rabinovich, A., Wynants, M., Kelly, C., Nasseri, M., Ngondya, I., Patrick, A., Mtei, K., Munishi, L., Boeckx, P., Navas, A., Smith, H. G., Gilvear, D., Wilson, G., Roberts, N., & Ndakidemi, P. (2018a). Soil erosion in East Africa: an interdisciplinary approach to realising pastoral land management change. Environmental Research Letters, 13(12), 124014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaea8b
- Blake, W. H., Rabinovich, A., Wynants, M., Kelly, C., Nasseri, M., Ngondya, I., Patrick, A., Mtei, K., Munishi, L., Boeckx, P., Navas, A., Smith, H. G., Gilvear, D., Wilson, G., Roberts, N., & Ndakidemi, P. (2018b). Soil erosion in East Africa: an interdisciplinary approach to realising pastoral land management change. Environmental Research Letters, 13(12), 124014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaea8b
- Brown, G., & Chin, S. Y. W. (2013). Assessing the effectiveness of public participation in neighbourhood planning. *Planning Practice & Research*, 28(5), 563–588. https://doi.org/10.1080/02697459.2013.820037
- Dyer, J., Stringer, L. C., Dougill, A. J., Leventon, J., Nshimbi, M., Chama, F., Kafwifwi, A., Muledi, J. I., Kaumbu, J.-M. K., Falcao, M., Muhorro, S., Munyemba, F., Kalaba, G. M., & Syampungani, S. (2014). Assessing participatory practices in community-based natural resource management: Experiences in community engagement from southern Africa. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 137, 137–145. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.057

- Eshkol, B., & Eshkol, A. (2017). Participatory planning in Israel: from theory to practice. *Journal of Place Management and Development*, 10(3), 213–239. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPMD-07-2016-0042
- Gedikli, B. (2009). The role of leadership in the success of participatory planning processes: Experience from Turkey. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 16(2), 115–130. https://doi.org/10.1177/0969776408101684
- Jin, E., Lee, W., & Kim, D. (2018). Does resident participation in an urban regeneration project improve neighborhood satisfaction: A case study of "Amichojang" in Busan, South Korea. *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*), 10(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103755
- Karki, T. K. (2004). Challenges of managing a government town planning office in Nepal: a planner's experience. *Environment and Urbanization*, *16*(2), 223–234. https://doi.org/10.1177/095624780401600213
- Kennedy, M. (1997). Transformative community planning: Empowerment through community development. *NEW SOLUTIONS: A Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health Policy*, 6(4), 93–100. https://doi.org/10.2190/NS6.4.o
- Khaenamkhaew, D., Onjun, P., Damrongwattana, J., & Prathum, B. (2023). The participation of community leaders for sustainable tourism development: A case study in Phipun District, Nakhon Si Thammarat Province, Thailand. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(1), 2229172. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2229172
- Li, T. H. Y., Ng, S. T. T., Skitmore, M., & Li, N. (2016). Investigating stakeholder concerns during public participation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Municipal Engineer*, 169(4), 199–219. https://doi.org/10.1680/jmuen.15.00018
- Margles, S. W., Masozera, M., Rugyerinyange, L., & Kaplin, B. A. (2010). Participatory planning: Using SWOT-AHP analysis in buffer zone management planning. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 29(6–8), 613–637. https://doi.org/10.1080/10549811003769483
- Moss, M. L., & Grunkemeyer, W. T. (2010). Building shared visions for sustainable communities. *Community Development*, 41(2), 240–254. https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330903477309
- Ngah, I., & Zulkifli, A. S. (2014). Participatory approach in planning for low carbon and eco-village: A case of Felda Taib Andak. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 18(1), 012150. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/18/1/012150
- Reybold, L. E., & Herren, R. V. (1999). Education and action in magnolia community: Rethinking community development. *Journal of the Community Development Society*, 30(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/15575339909489750
- Richards, L., & Dalbey, M. (2006). Creating great places: The role of citizen participation. *Community Development*, 37(4), 18–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/15575330609490193
- Swapan, M. S. H. (2014). Realities of community participation in metropolitan planning in Bangladesh: A comparative study of citizens and planning practitioners' perceptions. *Habitat International*, *43*, 191–197. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2014.03.004
- Zanudin, K., Ngah, I., & Misnan, S. H. (2019). Evaluating community participation in planning decision-making in Iskandar Malaysia: Thematic analysis of public planner's perception. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 385(1), 012007. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/385/1/012007

Journal of Management, Business, and Social Science

ISSN 2987-6761